

EXHIBIT 6



October 19, 2022

The Honorable Harry D. Leinenweber
Everett McKinley Dirksen Courthouse
Chambers 1846
219 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, IL 60604

Re: In re Opana ER Antitrust Litigation, N.D. Ill., No. 14-cv-10150

Dear Judge Leinenweber:

I am writing in my capacity as General Counsel for J M Smith Corporation d/b/a Smith Drug Company ("Smith Drug"), a pharmaceutical wholesaler based in Spartanburg, South Carolina, in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys' fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

Smith Drug is a class member in the above-described case, and I understand that it will have a claim to recovery out of the Settlement Fund in this case. Class Counsel has informed Smith Drug of the facts and circumstances of the case, including the timing of this settlement at the start of a three-week trial and the resulting jury verdict in favor of the non-settling defendants. Smith Drug is satisfied that the proposed \$145 million settlement is fair and adequate and that the proposed attorneys' fee award is appropriate in this complex case. In addition to the value of the \$145 million settlement achieved on behalf of the class, Smith Drug believes that this award is justified by the time and expense that Class Counsel put into prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation.

For these reasons, Smith Drug asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs.

Very truly yours,



Robert M. Barrett