

EXHIBIT A

Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC

David A. Schumacher
215 665 3854
david.schumacher@bipc.com

Two Liberty Place
50 S. 16th Street, Suite 3200
Philadelphia, PA 19102-2555
T 215 665 8700
F 215 665 8760
www.bipc.com

September 11, 2017

VIA CLASS COUNSEL

Hon. Denise Casper
United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts
1 Courthouse Way
Boston, MA 02210

Re: *In re Asacol Antitrust Litigation*, 15-cv-12730-DJC

Dear Judge Casper:

I write on behalf of AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation (“ABDC”) in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys’ fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

ABDC, an absent class member, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding ABDC’s claim for recovery from the Settlement Fund in this case will be one of the three largest claims made by any class member.

Class Counsel have fully informed ABDC of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the legal hurdles and other risks involved from its inception and through settlement. ABDC is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, and that the proposed attorneys’ fee award of 1/3 of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the \$15 million settlement achieved on behalf of the class, this fee award is justified by the time and expense that Class Counsel put into prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation. It is also justified by the fact that many of the same Counsel have worked diligently developing the law in this area in other cases but, on occasion, have received no compensation.

For these reasons, ABDC asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports Class Counsel’s application for attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of costs.

Respectfully,



David A. Schumacher

BakerHostetler

Baker & Hostetler LLP

200 Civic Center Drive, Suite 1200
Columbus, OH 43215-4138

T 614.228.1541
F 614.462.2616
www.bakerlaw.com

Robert J. Tucker
direct dial: 614.462.2680
rtucker@bakerlaw.com

September 15, 2017

Hon. Denise Casper
United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts
1 Courthouse Way
Boston MA 02210

Re: *In re Asacol Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 1:15-cv-12730-DJC

Dear Judge Casper:

I write on behalf of Cardinal Health, Inc. (“Cardinal Health”) in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys’ fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

Cardinal Health, an absent class member in the direct purchaser litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that Cardinal Health’s claim for recovery from the settlement will be one of the three largest claims made.

Class Counsel have, through me, informed Cardinal Health of the general facts and circumstances of the case, the legal hurdles, and other risks involved in the case, as well as of the terms of the settlement. Based upon the information provided by Class Counsel, Cardinal Health is satisfied the proposed settlement is fair and adequate. Cardinal Health is also satisfied that the proposed attorneys’ fee award is acceptable in this case.

For these reasons, Cardinal Health asks the Court to approve the settlement and has no objection to Class Counsel’s application for attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of costs, as well as Class Counsel’s request for incentive awards for the named Plaintiffs in this case.

Sincerely,



Robert J. Tucker



Steven H. Winick
shwinick@blaxterlaw.com
Direct: 415.500.7707

475 Sansome Street, Suite 1850
San Francisco, CA 94111

www.blaxterlaw.com

October 26, 2017

VIA U.S. MAIL

The Honorable Denise J. Casper
United States District Court
District of Massachusetts
John Joseph Moakley U.S. Courthouse
1 Courthouse Way
Courtroom 11, 5th Floor
Boston, MA 02210

Re: *In re Asacol Antitrust Litigation*
Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-12730 (DJC) (D. Mass.)

Dear Judge Casper:

I write on behalf of McKesson Corporation (“McKesson”) in support of class counsel’s pending motion seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned case.

McKesson is an absent class member in the current litigation and one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the United States. McKesson has concluded the proposed settlement is fair and adequate and the proposed attorney’s fee award of one-third of the settlement is appropriate.

For these reasons, McKesson asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports class counsel’s application for attorneys’ fees and reimbursements of costs.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "St. Winick".

Steven Winick for
Blaxter | Blackman LLP

Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC

David A. Schumacher
215 665 3854
david.schumacher@bipc.com

Two Liberty Place
50 S. 16th Street, Suite 3200
Philadelphia, PA 19102-2555
T 215 665 8700
F 215 665 8760
www.bipc.com

January 2, 2018

Hon. Arenda L. Wright Allen
United States District Court for the
Eastern District of Virginia
Walter E. Hoffman United States Courthouse
600 Granby Street
Norfolk, VA 23510

Re: *In re Celebrex (Celecoxib) Antitrust Litig.*, Case No. 2:14-cv-00361-AWA-DEM

Dear Judge Allen:

I write on behalf of my client, AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation (“ABDC”), in support of the proposed settlement and Class Counsel’s request for attorneys’ fees.

ABDC is a class member in this litigation and one of the largest prescription drug wholesalers in the country. It is my understanding that my client’s claim to recovery in this case will be substantial.

Lead Class Counsel has, through me, informed ABDC of the facts and circumstances of the case, including the legal issues and risks involved. ABDC is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, that the proposed attorneys’ fees of one-third of the net recovery (the gross recovery less litigation expenses) is appropriate in this case, and that the proposed service award to each class representative is appropriate.

ABDC respectfully asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports Class Counsel’s application for attorneys’ fees, reimbursement of costs, and service awards to the three class representatives.

Respectfully submitted,



David A. Schumacher

BakerHostetler

Baker & Hostetler LLP

200 Civic Center Drive, Suite 1200
Columbus, OH 43215-4138

T 614.228.1541
F 614.462.2616
www.bakerlaw.com

Robert J. Tucker
direct dial: 614.462.2680
rtucker@bakerlaw.com

December 26, 2017

Hon. Arenda L. Wright Allen
United States District Court for the
Eastern District of Virginia
Walter E. Hoffman
United States Courthouse
600 Granby Street
Norfolk, VA 23510

Re: *American Sales Company, LLC v. Pfizer, Inc., et al.*, No. 2:14-cv-361

Dear Judge Allen:

I write on behalf of Cardinal Health, Inc. (“Cardinal Health”) in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys’ fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

Cardinal Health, an absent class member in the direct purchaser litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that Cardinal Health’s claim for recovery from the settlement will be one of the three largest claims made.

Class Counsel have, through me, informed Cardinal Health of the general facts and circumstances of the case, the legal hurdles, and other risks involved in the case, as well as of the terms of the settlement. Based upon the information provided by Class Counsel, Cardinal Health is satisfied the proposed settlement is fair and adequate. Cardinal Health is also satisfied that the proposed attorneys’ fee award is acceptable in this case.

Hon. Arenda L. Wright Allen
December 26, 2017
Page 2

For these reasons, Cardinal Health asks the Court to approve the settlement and has no objection to Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs, as well as Class Counsel's request for service awards for the three class representatives.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert J. Tucker", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Robert J. Tucker

Blaxter | Blackman LLP

Steven H. Winick
shwinick@blaxterlaw.com
Direct: 415.500.7707

475 Sansome Street, Suite 1850
San Francisco, CA 94111

www.blaxterlaw.com

January 4, 2018

The Honorable Arenda L. Wright Allen
United States District Court
Eastern District of Virginia
United States Courthouse
600 Granby Street
Norfolk, VA 23510

Re: *In re Celebrex (Celecoxib) Antitrust Litigation*
Lead Case No. 2:14-cv-00361-AWA-DEM

Dear Judge Wright Allen:

I write on behalf of McKesson Corporation ("McKesson") in support of class counsel's pending motion seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned case.

McKesson is an absent class member in the current litigation and one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the United States. McKesson has concluded the proposed settlement is fair and adequate and the proposed attorney's fee award of one-third of the net settlement recovery (gross recovery minus litigation expenses) is appropriate.

For these reasons, McKesson asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports class counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursements of costs.

Very truly yours,



Steven Winick for
Blaxter | Blackman LLP

Baker Hostetler

Baker & Hostetler LLP

Capitol Square, Suite 2100
65 East State Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4260

T 614.228.1541
F 614.462.2616
www.bakerlaw.com

December 19, 2012

Robert J. Tucker
direct dial: 614.462.2880
rtucker@bakerlaw.com

The Honorable Anita B. Brody
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
U.S. Courthouse
601 Market Street, Room 7613
Philadelphia, PA 19106-1712

Re: *In re Flonase Antitrust Litigation, American Sales Co., Inc. v. SmithKlineBeecham*, 08-cv-03149 (E.D. Pa.)

Dear Judge Brody:

I write on behalf of our client, Cardinal Health, Inc. ("Cardinal Health"), in support of the pending motion seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned litigation.

Cardinal Health, an absent class member in the current litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the United States. As a result, it is our understanding that Cardinal Health's claim for recovery from the settlement in this case will be one of the three largest claims.

Based on information from Class counsel, our firm has fully informed Cardinal Health on an ongoing basis of the facts and circumstances of the case, the legal hurdles, and other risks involved in this case. Cardinal Health is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate and that the proposed attorneys' fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the settlement achieved on behalf of the class, this award is justified by the time and expense Class counsel incurred in prosecuting and favorably resolving part of this complex litigation.

For these reasons, Cardinal Health respectfully asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports class counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs, as well as Class counsel's request for incentive award for the representative plaintiff in this case.

The Honorable Anita B. Brody
December 19, 2012
Page 2

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert J. Tucker", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Robert J. Tucker

SheppardMullin

Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-4109
415.434.9100 main
415.434.3947 main fax
www.sheppardmullin.com

415.774.2970 direct
shwinick@sheppardmullin.com

February 13, 2013

File Number: 020X-158877

The Honorable Anita B. Brody
U.S. District Court
for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
601 Market Street, Room 7613
Philadelphia, PA 19106-1797

Re: In re Flonase Antitrust Litigation, American Sales Co., Inc. v. SmithKlineBeecham
Case No. 08-cv-03149 (E.D.P.A)

Dear Judge Brody:

I write on behalf of my client, McKesson Corporation ("McKesson"), in support of final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned litigation.

McKesson is an absent class member in the current litigation and one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that McKesson's claim to recovery in this case will be one of the largest by any class member.

Class counsel have, through me, fully informed McKesson of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the legal issues and risks involved. McKesson is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate.

McKesson respectfully asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports class counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs.

Respectfully,



Steven Winick
for SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC

David A. Schumacher
215 665 3854
david.schumacher@bipc.com

Two Liberty Place
50 S. 16th Street, Suite 3200
Philadelphia, PA 19102-2555
T 215 665 8700
F 215 665 8760
www.bipc.com

August 7, 2017

VIA CLASS COUNSEL

Hon. Stanley R. Chesler
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
Martin Luther King Building & U.S. Courthouse
50 Walnut Street, Courtroom No. 2
Newark, NJ 07101

Hon. Cathy Waldor
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
Martin Luther King Building & U.S. Courthouse
50 Walnut Street, Room 4040
Newark, NJ 07101

Re: *In re K-Dur Antitrust Litigation*, No. 2:01-cv-01652-SRC-CLW, MDL No. 1419

Dear Judge Chesler and Judge Waldor:

I write on behalf of AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation (“ABDC”) in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys’ fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

ABDC, an absent class member in the current litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding ABDC’s claim for recovery from the Settlement Fund in this case will be one of the three largest claims made by any class member.

Class Counsel have, through me, fully informed ABDC of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the legal hurdles and other risks involved from its inception and through settlement. ABDC is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, and that the proposed attorneys’ fee award of 1/3 of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the \$60.2 million settlement achieved on behalf of the class, this fee award is justified by the time and expense that Class Counsel put into prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation. It is also justified by the fact that many of the same Counsel have worked diligently developing the law in this area in other cases but, on occasion, have received no compensation.

August 7, 2017
Page - 2 -

For these reasons, ABDC asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "David Schumacher". The signature is written in a cursive style.

David A. Schumacher

BakerHostetler

Baker & Hostetler LLP

200 Civic Center Drive, Suite 1200
Columbus, OH 43215-4138

T 614.228.1541

F 614.462.2616

www.bakerlaw.com

Robert J. Tucker

direct dial: 614.462.2680

rtucker@bakerlaw.com

August 9, 2017

Hon. Stanley R. Chesler
United States District Court for the
District of New Jersey
Martin Luther King Building &
U.S. Courthouse
50 Walnut Street, Courtroom No. 2
Newark, NJ 07101

Hon. Cathy Waldor
United States District Court for the
District of New Jersey
Martin Luther King Building &
U.S. Courthouse
50 Walnut Street, Room 4040
Newark, NJ 07101

Re: *In re K-Dur Antitrust Litigation*, No. 2:01-cv-01652-SRC-CLW, MDL No. 1419

Dear Judge Chesler and Judge Waldor:

I write on behalf of Cardinal Health, Inc. ("Cardinal Health") in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement between the direct purchaser class and Defendants, and an attorneys' fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

Cardinal Health, an absent class member in the direct purchaser litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that Cardinal Health's claim for recovery from the settlement will be one of the three largest claims made.

Co-Lead Counsel have, through me, informed Cardinal Health of the general facts and circumstances of the case, the legal hurdles, and other risks involved in the case, as well as of the terms of the settlement. Based upon the information provided by Co-Lead Counsel, Cardinal Health is satisfied the proposed settlement is fair and adequate and believes the proposed attorneys' fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case.

Hon. Stanley R. Chesler & Hon. Cathy Waldor
August 9, 2017
Page 2

For these reasons, Cardinal Health asks the Court to approve the settlement and has no objection to Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs, as well as Class Counsel's request for incentive awards for the named Plaintiff in this case.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert J. Tucker", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Robert J. Tucker

August 21, 2017

Hon. Stanley R. Chesler
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
Martin Luther King Building & U.S. Courthouse
50 Walnut Street, Courtroom No. 2
Newark, NJ 07101

Hon. Cathy Waldor
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
Martin Luther King Building & U.S. Courthouse
50 Walnut Street, Room 4040
Newark, NJ 07101

Re: *In re K-Dur Antitrust Litigation, No. 2:01-cv-01652-SRC-CLW,*
MDL No. 1419

Dear Judge Chesler and Judge Waldor:

I am outside legal counsel to McKesson Corporation. McKesson is an absent class member in the current litigation and one of the largest wholesale distributors of pharmaceuticals in the United States. I understand McKesson's claim for recovery in this case will be one of the largest.

McKesson supports final approval of the proposed settlement and class counsel's requested fee award. McKesson has concluded the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, the proposed attorneys' fees of one-third of the settlement amount are appropriate in this case, and the proposed service award to the representative plaintiff is appropriate.

McKesson respectfully asks the Court to approve the settlement and class counsel's application for attorneys' fees, reimbursement of costs, and service award to the representative plaintiff.

Respectfully,



Steven Winick for
Blaxter | Blackman LLP

Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC
Attorneys & Government Relations Professionals

Donald W. Myers

215 665 3880
donald.myers@bipc.com

Two Liberty Place
50 S. 16th Street, Suite 3200
Philadelphia, PA 19102-2555
T 215 665 8700
F 215 665 8760
www.buchananingersoll.com

June 19, 2014

The Honorable Faith S. Hochberg, U.S.D.J.
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
United States Post Office & Courthouse Building
50 Walnut Street
Newark, NJ 07101

Re: *In re Neurontin Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1479 (FSH) (PS)

Dear Judge Hochberg:

I write on behalf of my client, AmerisourceBergen Corporation (“ABC”), in support of final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned litigation.

ABC is an absent class member in the current litigation and one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that our client’s claim to recovery in this case will be one of the largest by any class member.

Class Counsel have, through me, fully informed ABC of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the legal issues and risks involved. ABC is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, that the proposed attorneys’ fees of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case, and that the proposed service awards to each representative plaintiff are appropriate.

ABC respectfully asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports class counsel’s application for attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of costs, and service awards to the representative plaintiffs.

Respectfully,



Donald W. Myers

Baker Hostetler

Baker & Hostetler LLP

Capitol Square, Suite 2100
65 East State Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4260

T 614.228.1541
F 614.462.2616
www.bakerlaw.com

Robert J. Tucker
direct dial: 614.462.2680
rtucker@bakerlaw.com

June 18, 2014

The Honorable Faith S. Hochberg, U.S.D.J.
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
United States Post Office & Courthouse Building
50 Walnut Street
Newark, NJ 07101

**Re: *In re Neurontin Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1479
(FSH) (PS)**

Dear Judge Hochberg:

I write on behalf of our client, Cardinal Health, Inc. (“Cardinal Health”), in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys’ fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

Cardinal Health, an absent class member in the current litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the United States. As a result, it is our understanding that Cardinal Health’s claim for recovery from the settlement in this case will be one of the three largest.

Based on information from Class Counsel, our firm has fully informed Cardinal Health on the facts and circumstances of the case, the legal hurdles, and other risks involved in the case. Cardinal Health is satisfied the proposed settlement is fair and adequate and the proposed attorneys’ fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the settlement achieved on behalf of the class, this award is justified by the time and expense class counsel incurred in prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation well over more than a decade.

For these reasons, Cardinal Health asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports Class Counsel’s application for attorneys’ fees and

Hon. Faith S. Hochberg, U.S.D.J.

June 18, 2014

Page 2

reimbursement of costs, as well as Class Counsel's request for incentive awards for the named plaintiffs in this case.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert J. Tucker", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Robert J. Tucker

SheppardMullin

Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-4109
415.434.9100 main
415.434.3947 main fax
www.sheppardmullin.com

415.774.2970 direct
shwinick@sheppardmullin.com

June 16, 2014

The Honorable Faith S. Hochberg, U.S.D.J.
United States District Court
for the District of New Jersey
United States Post Office & Courthouse Building
50 Walnut Street
Newark, NJ 07101

Re: In re Neurontin Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1479 (FSH) (PS)

Dear Judge Hochberg:


I write on behalf of my client, McKesson Corporation ("McKesson"), in support of final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned litigation.

McKesson is an absent class member in the current litigation, and one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the United States. I understand that McKesson's claim for recovery in this case will be one of the largest by any class member.

Class counsel have, through me, fully informed McKesson of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the legal issues and risks involved. McKesson has concluded the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, the proposed attorneys' fees of one-third of the settlement amount are appropriate in this case, and the proposed service awards to each representative plaintiff are appropriate.

Accordingly, McKesson respectfully asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports class counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs and service awards to the representative plaintiffs.

Very truly yours,



Steven Winick
for SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON llp

Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC
Attorneys & Government Relations Professionals

Steven E. Bizar
215 685 3826
steven.bizar@bipc.com

Two Liberty Place
50 S. 16th Street, Suite 3200
Philadelphia, PA 19102-2555
T 215 685 8700
F 215 685 8760
www.buchananingersoll.com

January 19, 2011

Honorable Richard J. Leon
United States District Judge
United States District Court
for the District of Columbia
333 Constitution Avenue N.W.
Washington D.C. 20001

Re: In Re Nifedipine Antitrust Litigation; Civil Action No. 1:03-MS-223 (RJL)

Dear Judge Leon:

I write on behalf of our client, AmerisourceBergen Corporation ("ABC"), in support of the pending motion seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned litigation, and service awards to the representative plaintiffs.

ABC is an absent class member in the current litigation and one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that it is likely that our client's claim to recovery in this case will be one of the three largest claims made by any class member.


Class counsel have, through me, fully informed ABC of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the legal hurdles and other risks involved in the case. ABC is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, that the proposed attorneys' fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case, and that the proposed service awards of \$60,000 to each representative plaintiff are also appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the overall, \$35 million settlement achieved on behalf of the class, the requested fee award is justified by the time and expense that class counsel expended in prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation.

EXHIBIT 1

January 19, 2011
Page - 2 -

For this reason, ABC asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports class counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs, and service awards to the representative plaintiffs.

Respectfully,



Steven E. Bizar

SEB/rtb

Baker Hostetler

Baker & Hostetler LLP

Capitol Square, Suite 2100
65 East State Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4260

T 614.228.1541
F 614.462.2616
www.bakerlaw.com

January 19, 2011

Thomas L. Long
direct dial: 614.462.2626
TLong@bakerlaw.com

Honorable Richard J. Leon
United States District Judge
United States District Court
for the District of Columbia
333 Constitution Avenue N.W.
Washington D.C. 20001

Re: In Re Nifedipine Antitrust Litigation; Civil Action No. 1:03-MS-223 (RJL)

Dear Judge Leon:

I write on behalf of my client, Cardinal Health, Inc. ("Cardinal Health"), in support of the pending motion seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned litigation, and service awards to the representative plaintiffs.

Cardinal Health is an absent class member in the current litigation and one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that it is likely that our client's claim to recovery in this case will be one of the three largest claims made by any class member.

Class counsel have, through me, fully informed Cardinal Health of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the legal hurdles and other risks involved in the case. Cardinal Health is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, that the proposed attorneys' fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case, and that the proposed service awards of \$60,000 to each representative plaintiff are also appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the overall, \$35 million settlement achieved on behalf of the class, the requested fee award is justified by the time and expense that class counsel expended in prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation.


EXHIBIT 2

Chicago Cincinnati Cleveland Columbus Costa Mesa
Denver Houston Los Angeles New York Orlando Washington, DC

Honorable Richard J. Leon
January 19, 2011
Page 2

For this reason, Cardinal Health asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports class counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs, and service awards to the representative plaintiffs.

Respectfully,



Thomas L. Long

/lam

Chicago Cincinnati Cleveland Columbus Costa Mesa
Denver Houston Los Angeles New York Orlando Washington, DC

McKesson Corporation
LAW DEPARTMENT
One Post Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
415.983.8300 Tel
415.983.9369 Fax

McKESSON
Empowering Healthcare

Richard Ardoin
Associate General Counsel
Direct Dial: 415-983-9129

January 14, 2011

Honorable Richard J. Leon
United States District Judge
United States District Court
for the District of Columbia
333 Constitution Avenue N.W.
Washington D.C. 20001

Re: In Re Nifedipine Antitrust Litigation; Civil Action No. 1:03-MS-223 (RJL)

Dear Judge Leon:

I write on behalf of my client, McKesson Corporation ("McKesson"), in support of the pending motion seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned litigation.

McKesson is an absent class member in the current litigation and one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that it is likely that our client's claim to recovery in this case will be one of the largest claims made by any class member.

Class counsel have, through me, fully informed McKesson of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the legal hurdles and other risks involved in the case. McKesson is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, that the proposed attorneys' fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case, and that the proposed service awards to each representative plaintiff are also appropriate in this case.

For this reason, McKesson asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports class counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs, and service awards to the representative plaintiffs.

Respectfully,



Richard A. Ardoin
RAA/sa

EXHIBIT 3

Honorable Richard J. Leon
United States District Judge
January 14, 2011
Page 2

Bcc David Sorensen

Baker Hostetler

May 5, 2011

The Honorable Claudia Wilken
United States District Court
for the Northern District of California
1301 Clay Street
Oakland, CA 94612

**Re: *Meijer, Inc., et al. v. Abbott Laboratories,*
Case No. C 07-5985 CW (N.D. Cal.)**

Dear Judge Wilken:


I write on behalf of my client, Cardinal Health, Inc. ("Cardinal Health"), in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys' fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

Cardinal Health, an absent class member in the current litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that Cardinal Health's claim for recovery from the settlement in this case will be one of the three largest claims made.

Class Counsel have, through me, fully informed Cardinal Health of the facts and circumstances of the case, the legal hurdles and other risks involved in the case from its inception through trial and ultimately settlement. Based on the information provided by Class Counsel and Cardinal Health's own assessment of the facts and legal issues, Cardinal Health is satisfied the proposed settlement is fair and adequate. Based on the value of the settlement and the time and expense which Class Counsel invested on behalf of the class members in prosecuting and resolving this matter, Cardinal Health is also satisfied the proposed attorney fee award of the settlement amount is appropriate.

Cardinal Health respectfully requests the Court to approve the settlement and further supports Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs.

Respectfully,



Thomas L. Long

Chicago Cincinnati Cleveland Columbus Costa Mesa
Denver Houston Los Angeles New York Orlando Washington, DC

Baker & Hostetler LLP

Capitol Square, Suite 2100
65 East State Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4260

T 614.228.1541
F 614.462.2616
www.bakerlaw.com

Thomas L. Long
direct dial: 614.462.2626
TLong@bakerlaw.com

McKesson Corporation
1301 CLAY STREET
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612
415-983-9129
www.mckesson.com

MCKESSON
Empowering Healthcare

Richard Ardoin
Associate General Counsel
Direct Dial: 415-983-9129

May 4, 2011

The Honorable Claudia Wilken
United States District Court for the Northern District of California
1301 Clay Street
Oakland, CA 94612

Re: *Meijer, Inc., et al. v. Abbott Laboratories,*
Case No.: C 07-5985 CW (N.D. Cal.)

Dear Judge Wilken:

I am Associate General Counsel for McKesson Corporation ("McKesson") in charge of Litigation, and I am writing in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned case.

McKesson, which is headquartered in San Francisco, is an absent class member in the current litigation. We are one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that our claim to recovery will be one of the three largest claims made to the Settlement Fund in this case.

During the entire course of this matter, including through trial, Class Counsel have kept McKesson well informed of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the legal hurdles and other risks involved. McKesson is satisfied that the proposed \$52 million cash settlement is fair and adequate, and that the proposed attorneys' fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the \$52 million settlement achieved on behalf of the class, McKesson believes that this award is justified by the time and expense that class counsel put into prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation.

For this reason, McKesson asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports class counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs.

Respectfully,



Richard Ardoin

Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC
Attorneys & Government Relations Professionals

Donald W. Myers
215 665 3880
donald.myers@bipc.com

Two Liberty Place
50 S. 16th Street, Suite 3200
Philadelphia, PA 19102-2555
T 215 665 8700
F 215 665 8760
www.buchananingersoll.com

May 9, 2011

The Honorable Claudia Wilken
United States District Court
for the Northern District of California
1301 Clay Street
Oakland, CA 94612

**Re: *Meijer, Inc., et al. v. Abbott Laboratories,*
Case No. C 07-5985 CW (N.D. Cal.)**

Dear Judge Wilken:

I write on behalf of my client, AmerisourceBergen Corporation (“ABC”), in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys’ fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

ABC, an absent class member in the current litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding our claim for recovery from the Settlement Fund in this case will be one of the three largest claims made by any class member.

Class Counsel have, through me, fully informed ABC of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the legal hurdles and other risks involved from its inception and through trial and settlement. ABC is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, and that the proposed attorneys’ fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the \$52 million settlement achieved on behalf of the class, this fee award is justified by the time and expense that class counsel put into prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation.

For this reason, ABC asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports Class Counsel’s application for attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of costs.

Respectfully,



Donald W. Myers

DWM/scm

cc: Elizabeth Campbell, Esquire

Steven E. Bizar
215 665 3826
steven.bizar@bipc.com

Two Liberty Place
50 S. 16th Street, Suite 3200
Philadelphia, PA 19102-2555
T 215 665 8700
F 215 665 8760
www.buchananingersoll.com

November 10, 2010

Honorable Sidney H. Stein
United States District Judge
United States District Court
for the Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street
New York, NY 10007-1312

Re: In re OxyContin Antitrust Litigation
MDL Docket No. 1603 (SHS)

Dear Judge Stein:


I write on behalf of our client, AmerisourceBergen Corporation, in support of the pending motion seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned litigation.

ABC, an absent class member in the current litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that it is likely that our client's claim to recovery in this case will be one of the three largest claims made by any class member.

Class counsel have, through me, fully informed ABC of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the legal hurdles and other risks involved in the case. ABC is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, and that the proposed attorneys' fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the \$16 million settlement achieved on behalf of the class, this award is justified by the time and expense that class counsel put into prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation.

November 10, 2010
Page - 2 -

For these reasons, ABC respectfully asks the Court to approve the settlement. ABC also supports class counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs.

Respectfully yours,

Steven E. Bizar

SEB/rtb
cc: Elizabeth Campbell, Esquire

Baker Hostetler

Baker & Hostetler LLP

Capitol Square, Suite 2100
65 East State Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4260

T 614.228.1541
F 614.462.2616
www.bakerlaw.com

November 10, 2010

Thomas L. Long
direct dial: 614.462.2626
TLong@bakerlaw.com

Honorable Sidney H. Stein
United States District Judge
United States District Court
for the Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street.
New York, NY 10007-1312

**Re: *In re OxyContin Antitrust Litigation*
MDL Docket No. 1603 (SHS)**

Dear Judge Stein:

I write on behalf of my client, Cardinal Health, Inc. ("Cardinal Health"), in support of the pending motion seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned litigation.


Cardinal Health is an absent class member in the current litigation and one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that it is likely that our client's claim to recovery in this case will be one of the three largest claims made to any class member.

Class counsel have, through me, fully informed Cardinal Health of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the legal hurdles and other risks involved in the case. Cardinal Health is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, and that the proposed attorneys' fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the \$16 million settlement achieved on behalf of the class, the requested fee award is justified by the time and expense that class counsel expended in prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation.

Honorable Sidney H. Stein
November 10, 2010
Page 2

For this reason, Cardinal Health asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports class counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs.

Respectfully,



Thomas L. Long

McKesson Corporation
LAW DEPARTMENT
One Post Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
415.983.7507 Tel
415.983.9369 Fax

MCKESSON
Empowering Healthcare

Richard Ardoin
Associate General Counsel
Direct Dial: 415-983-9129

November 15, 2010

Honorable Sidney H. Stein
United States District Judge
United States District Court
for the Southern District of New York

Re: *In re OxyContin Antitrust Litigation*
MDL Docket No. 1603 (SHS)

Dear Judge Stein:

I write on behalf of my client, McKesson Corporation ("MCK"), in support of the pending motion seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned litigation.

MCK is an absent class member in the above-described litigation and is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that MCK's claim in this case will be one of the three largest claims.

Class counsel has, through me, fully informed MCK of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the legal hurdles and other risks it involves. MCK is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, and that the proposed attorneys' fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this complex case.

For this reason, MCK asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports class counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs.

Respectfully,



Richard A. Ardoin

Baker Hostetler

Baker & Hostetler LLP

Capitol Square, Suite 2100
65 East State Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4260

T 614.228.1541
F 614.462.2616
www.bakerlaw.com

Robert J. Tucker
direct dial: 614.462.2680
rtucker@bakerlaw.com

December 10, 2014

The Honorable Judge Avern Cohn
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan
Theodore Levin U.S. Courthouse
231 West Lafayette Boulevard
Detroit Michigan 48226

Re: *In re Prandin Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig.*
Case No. 2:10-cv-12141-AC-DAS (E.D. Mich.)

Dear Judge Cohn:

I write on behalf of Cardinal Health, Inc. ("Cardinal Health"), in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys' fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

Cardinal Health, an absent class member in the current litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that Cardinal Health's claim for recovery from the settlement in this case will be one of the three largest claims made.

Class Counsel have, through me, informed Cardinal Health of the general facts and circumstances of the case, the legal hurdles and other risks involved in the case, and the settlement. Based on the information provided by Class Counsel and Cardinal Health's own assessment of the facts and legal issues, Cardinal Health is satisfied the proposed settlement is fair and adequate. Based on the value of the settlement and the time and expense which Class Counsel invested on behalf of the class members in prosecuting and resolving this matter, Cardinal Health is also satisfied the proposed attorney fee award of the settlement amount is appropriate.

Hon. Judge Avern Cohn
December 10, 2014
Page 2

Cardinal Health respectfully requests the Court approve the settlement and further supports Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert J. Tucker", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Robert J. Tucker

Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC
Attorneys & Government Relations Professionals

David A. Schumacher
215 665 3854
david.schumacher@bipc.com

Two Liberty Place
50 S. 16th Street, Suite 3200
Philadelphia, PA 19102-2555
T 215 665 8700
F 215 665 8760
www.buchananingersoll.com

December 11, 2014

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL

The Honorable Judge Avern Cohn
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan
Theodore Levin U.S. Courthouse
231 West Lafayette Boulevard
Detroit, MI 48226

Re: *In re Prandin Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig.*,
Case No. 2:10-cv-12141-AC-DAS (E.D. Mich.)

Dear Judge Cohn:

I write on behalf of AmerisourceBergen Corporation (“ABC”) in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys’ fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

ABC, an absent class member in the current litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding our claim for recovery from the Settlement Fund in this case will be one of the three largest claims made by any class member.

Class Counsel have, through me, fully informed ABC of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the legal hurdles and other risks involved from its inception and through trial and settlement. ABC is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, and that the proposed attorneys’ fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the \$19 million settlement achieved on behalf of the class, this fee award is justified by the time and expense that class counsel put into prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation.

For this reason, ABC asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports Class Counsel’s application for attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of costs.

Respectfully submitted,



David A. Schumacher



Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-4109
415.434.9100 main
415.434.3947 main fax
www.sheppardmullin.com

Direct Dial: 415-774-2970
Our File Number: 020X - 158877

December 16, 2014

VIA EMAIL

The Honorable Judge Avern Cohn
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan
Theodore Levin U.S. Courthouse
231 West Lafayette Boulevard
Detroit, MI 48226

Re: *In re Prandin Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig.*
Case No. 2:10-cv-12141-AC-DAS (E.D. Mich.)

Dear Judge Cohn:

I write on behalf of McKesson Corporation ("McKesson") in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned case.

McKesson, which is headquartered in San Francisco, is an absent class member in the current litigation. We are one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country, and I understand our claim will be one of the three largest claims made to the Settlement Fund in this case.

During the entire course of this matter Class Counsel have kept McKesson well informed of the facts and circumstances of the case and the legal hurdles and other risks involved. McKesson is satisfied the proposed \$19 million cash settlement is fair and adequate and the proposed attorneys' fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate. In addition to the value of the \$19 million settlement, McKesson believes this award is justified by the time and expense class counsel put into prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation.

SheppardMullin

The Honorable Judge Avern Cohn
December 16, 2014
Page 2

For these reasons, McKesson asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports class counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs.

Respectfully,



Steven H. Winick

for SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

SMRH:435594085.1

Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC
Attorneys & Government Relations Professionals

David A. Schumacher
215 665 3854
david.schumacher@bipc.com

Two Liberty Place
50 S. 16th Street, Suite 3200
Philadelphia, PA 19102-2555
T 215 665 8700
F 215 665 8760
www.buchananingersoll.com

April 1, 2015

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL

The Honorable Rya W. Zobel
United States District Court
District of Massachusetts
1 Courthouse Way
Boston, MA 02210

Re: *In re Prograf Antitrust Litigation*,
No. 11-mdl-02242-RWZ (D. Mass.)

Dear Judge Zobel:

I write on behalf of AmerisourceBergen Corporation (“ABC”) in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys’ fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

ABC, an absent class member in the current litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding ABC’s claim for recovery from the Settlement Fund in this case will be one of the three largest claims made by any class member.

Class Counsel have, through me, fully informed ABC of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the legal hurdles and other risks involved from its inception and through trial and settlement. ABC is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, and that the proposed attorneys’ fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the \$98 million settlement achieved on behalf of the class, this fee award is justified by the time and expense that Class Counsel put into prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation.

For this reason, ABC asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports Class Counsel’s application for attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of costs.

Respectfully submitted,


David A. Schumacher

Baker Hostetler

Baker & Hostetler LLP

Capitol Square, Suite 2100
65 East State Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4260

T 614.228.1541
F 614.462.2616
www.bakerlaw.com

Robert J. Tucker
direct dial: 614.462.2680
rtucker@bakerlaw.com

April 2, 2015

The Honorable Judge Rya W. Zobel
United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts
1 Courthouse Way
Boston, MA 02210

Re: *In re Prograf Antitrust Litig.*
Case No. 11-mdl-02242-RWZ (D. Mass.)

Dear Judge Zobel:

I write on behalf of Cardinal Health, Inc. ("Cardinal Health") in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys' fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

Cardinal Health, an absent class member in the current litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that Cardinal Health's claim for recovery from the settlement in this case will be one of the three largest claims made.

Class Counsel have, through me, informed Cardinal Health of the general facts and circumstances of the case, the legal hurdles and other risks involved in the case, and the settlement. Based on the information provided by Class Counsel, Cardinal Health is satisfied the proposed settlement is fair and adequate. Based on the value of the settlement and the time and expense that Class Counsel invested on behalf of the class members in prosecuting and resolving this matter, Cardinal Health is also satisfied the proposed attorney fee award of the settlement amount is appropriate.

Hon. Judge Rya W. Zobel
April 2, 2015
Page 2

Cardinal Health respectfully requests the Court approve the settlement and further supports Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert J. Tucker", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Robert J. Tucker



Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hamplon LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-4109
415.434.9100 main
415.434.3947 main fax
www.sheppardmullin.com

415.774.2970 direct
shwinick@sheppardmullin.com
Our File Number: 020X - 158877

April 2, 2015

The Honorable Rya W. Zobel
United States District Court
District of Massachusetts
1 Courthouse Way
Boston, MA 02210

Re: In re Prograss Antitrust Litigation
No. 11-mdl-02242-RWZ (D. Mass.)

Dear Judge Zobel:

I write on behalf of McKesson Corporation ("McKesson") in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned case.

McKesson is an absent class member in the current litigation and one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the United States. I understand McKesson's claim for recovery in this case will be one of the largest by any class member.

Class counsel have kept McKesson well informed of the facts and circumstances of the case and the legal issues and risks involved. McKesson has concluded the proposed settlement is fair and adequate and the proposed attorney's fee award of one-third of the settlement is appropriate.

For these reasons, McKesson asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports class counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "S. Winick".

Steven H. Winick
for Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP

Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC
Attorneys & Government Relations Professionals

Donald W. Myers
215 665 3880
donald.myers@bipc.com

Two Liberty Place
50 South 16th Street, Suite 3200
Philadelphia, PA 19102-2555
T 215 665 8700
F 215 665 8760
www.buchananingersoll.com

September 27, 2011

The Honorable Gregory M. Sleet
United States District Court
844 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

Re: *In re: Metoprolol Succinate Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation,*
C.A. No. 06-052 GMS

Dear Chief Judge Sleet:

I write on behalf of my client, AmerisourceBergen Co. ("AmerisourceBergen"), in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys' fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

AmerisourceBergen, an absent class member in the current litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that our claim for recovery from the settlement in this case will be one of the three largest claims made.

Class Counsel have, through me and other counsel for the company, on an ongoing basis fully informed AmerisourceBergen of the facts and circumstances of the case, the legal hurdles and other risks involved in the matter. AmerisourceBergen is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, and that the proposed attorneys' fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the \$20 million settlement achieved on behalf of the class, this award is justified by the time and expense that class counsel put into prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation.

For this reason, AmerisourceBergen asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs, as well as Class Counsel's request for incentive awards for the named plaintiffs in this case.

Respectfully,



Donald W. Myers

Baker Hostetler

September 27, 2011

The Honorable Gregory M. Sleet
United States District Court for the District of Delaware
844 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

Baker & Hostetler LLP

Capitol Square, Suite 2100
65 East State Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4260

T 614.228.1541
F 614.462.2616
www.bakerlaw.com

Thomas L. Long
direct dial: 614.462.2626
TLong@bakerlaw.com

Re: *In re: Metoprolol Succinate Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation,*
C.A. No. 06-052 GMS

Dear Chief Judge Sleet:


I write on behalf of our client, Cardinal Health, Inc. ("Cardinal Health"), in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys' fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

Cardinal Health, an absent class member in the current litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the United States. As a result, it is our understanding that Cardinal Health's claim for recovery from the settlement in this case will be one of the three largest claims.

Based on information from Class Counsel, our firm has fully informed Cardinal Health on an ongoing basis of the facts and circumstances of the case, the legal hurdles, and other risks involved in the case. Cardinal Health is satisfied the proposed settlement is fair and adequate and the proposed attorneys' fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the \$20 million settlement achieved on behalf of the class, this award is justified by the time and expense that class counsel incurred in prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation.

For these reasons, Cardinal Health asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs, as well as Class Counsel's request for incentive awards for the named plaintiffs in this case.

Respectfully,


Thomas L. Long

McKesson Corporation
One Post Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
415 983 8300



McKesson
Empowering Healthcare
Richard A. Ardoin
Associate General Counsel
Direct Tel.: 415-983-9129

October 3, 2011

The Honorable Gregory M. Sleet
United States District Court
844 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

RE: *In re: Metoprolol Succinate Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation,*
C.A. No. 06-052 GMS

Dear Chief Judge Sleet:

I am Associate General Counsel for McKesson Corporation ("McKesson") and head of the Litigation Group within the company's Law Department. I write in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys' fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

McKesson, an absent class member in the current litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that our claim for recovery from the settlement in this case will be one of the three largest claims made.

Class Counsel have, through me and other McKesson counsel, fully informed McKesson on an ongoing basis of the facts and circumstances of the case, the legal hurdles and other risks involved in the matter. McKesson is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, and that the proposed attorneys' fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the \$20 million settlement achieved on behalf of the class, this award is justified by the time and expense that class counsel put into prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation.

For this reason, McKesson asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs, as well as Class Counsel's request for incentive awards for the named plaintiffs in this case.

Respectfully,

Richard Ardoin

McKesson Corporation
One Post Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
415.983.8300

MCKESSON
Empowering Healthcare
Richard A. Ardoin
Associate General Counsel
Direct Tel.: 415-983-9129

October 3, 2011

Hon. Lawrence F. Stengel
U.S. District Court for the
Eastern District of Pennsylvania
U.S. Courthouse
601 Market Street, Room 15613
Philadelphia, PA 19106-1776

Re: *Wellbutrin SR Antitrust Litig., No. 2:04-cv-5525*

Dear Judge Stengel:

I am Associate General Counsel for McKesson Corporation (“McKesson”) and head of the Litigation Group within the company’s Law Department. I write in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys’ fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

McKesson, an absent class member in the current litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that our claim for recovery from the settlement in this case will be one of the three largest claims made.

Class Counsel have, through me and other McKesson counsel, informed McKesson of the facts and circumstances of the case, the legal hurdles and other risks involved in the matter. McKesson is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, and that the proposed attorneys’ fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the \$49 million settlement achieved on behalf of the class, this award is justified by the time and expense that class counsel put into prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation.

For this reason, McKesson asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports Class Counsel’s application for attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of costs, as well as Class Counsel’s request for incentive awards for the named plaintiffs in this case.

Respectfully,



Richard Ardoin

Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC
Attorneys & Government Relations Professionals

Donald W. Myers
215 665 3880
donald.myers@bipc.com

Two Liberty Place
50 South 16th Street, Suite 3200
Philadelphia, PA 19102-2555
T 215 665 8700
F 215 665 8760
www.buchananingersoll.com

September 27, 2011

Hon. Lawrence F. Stengel
U.S. District Court for the
Eastern District of Pennsylvania
U.S. Courthouse
601 Market Street, Room 15613
Philadelphia, PA 19106-1776

Re: *Wellbutrin SR Antitrust Litig., No. 2:04-cv-5525*

Dear Judge Stengel:

I write on behalf of my client, AmerisourceBergen Co. ("AmerisourceBergen"), in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys' fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

AmerisourceBergen, an absent class member in the current litigation whose principal place of business is in this judicial district, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that our claim for recovery from the settlement in this case will be one of the three largest claims made.

Class Counsel have, through me and other counsel for the company, informed AmerisourceBergen of the facts and circumstances of the case, the legal hurdles and other risks involved in the matter. AmerisourceBergen is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, and that the proposed attorneys' fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the \$49 million settlement achieved on behalf of the class, this award is justified by the time and expense that class counsel put into prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation.

For this reason, AmerisourceBergen asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs, as well as Class Counsel's request for incentive awards for the named plaintiffs in this case.

Respectfully,



Donald W. Myers

Baker Hostetler

September 27, 2011

Hon. Lawrence F. Stengel
U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
U.S. Courthouse
601 Market Street, Room 15613
Philadelphia, PA 19106-1776

Baker & Hostetler LLP

Capitol Square, Suite 2100
65 East State Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4260

T 614.228.1541
F 614.462.2616
www.bakerlaw.com

Thomas L. Long
direct dial: 614.462.2626
TLong@bakerlaw.com

Re: Wellbutrin SR Antitrust Litig., No. 2:04-cv-5525

Dear Judge Stengel:


I write on behalf of our client, Cardinal Health, Inc. (“Cardinal Health”), in support of the pending motions seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and an attorneys’ fee award for Class Counsel in the above-captioned litigation.

Cardinal Health, an absent class member in the current litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the United States. As a result, it is our understanding that Cardinal Health’s claim for recovery from the settlement in this case will be one of the three largest claims.

Based on information from Class Counsel, our firm has informed Cardinal Health of the facts and circumstances of the case, the legal hurdles, and other risks involved in the case. Cardinal Health is satisfied the proposed settlement is fair and adequate and the proposed attorneys’ fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the \$49 million settlement achieved on behalf of the class, the attorneys’ fee award is justified by the time and expense class counsel incurred in prosecuting and favorably resolving this complex litigation.

For these reasons, Cardinal Health asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports Class Counsel’s application for attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of costs, as well as Class Counsel’s request for incentive awards for the named plaintiffs in this case.

Respectfully,


Thomas L. Long

Baker Hostetler

Baker & Hostetler LLP

Capitol Square, Suite 2100
65 East State Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4260

T 614.228.1541
F 614.462.2616
www.bakerlaw.com

October 22, 2012

Robert J. Tucker
direct dial: 614.462.2680
rtucker@bakerlaw.com

The Honorable Mary A. McLaughlin
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
601 Market Street, Room 13614
Philadelphia, PA 19106-1797

Re: *In re Wellbutrin XL Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 2:08-cv-2431
(E.D. Pa.)

Dear Judge McLaughlin:

I write on behalf of our client, Cardinal Health, Inc. (“Cardinal Health”), in support of the pending motion seeking final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned litigation.

Cardinal Health, an absent class member in the current litigation, is one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the United States. As a result, it is our understanding that Cardinal Health’s claim for recovery from the settlement in this case will be one of the three largest claims.

Based on information from Class counsel, our firm has fully informed Cardinal Health on an ongoing basis of the facts and circumstances of the case, the legal hurdles, and other risks involved in this case. Cardinal Health is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate and that the proposed attorneys’ fee award of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case. In addition to the value of the settlement achieved on behalf of the class, this award is justified by the time and expense Class counsel incurred in prosecuting and favorably resolving part of this complex litigation.

For these reasons, Cardinal Health respectfully asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports class counsel’s application for attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of costs, as well as Class counsel’s request for incentive award for the representative plaintiff in this case.

The Honorable Mary A. McLaughlin
October 22, 2012
Page 2

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert J. Tucker", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Robert J. Tucker

cc: Thomas L. Long, Esq. (via electronic mail)

SheppardMullin

Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-4109
415.434.9100 main
415.434.3947 main fax
www.sheppardmullin.com

415.774.2970 direct
shwinick@sheppardmullin.com

October 17, 2012

File Number: 020X-153936020X-
153936

The Honorable Mary A. McLaughlin
U.S. District Court
for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
601 Market Street, Room 13614
Philadelphia, PA 19106-1797

Re: In re Wellbutrin XL Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 2:08-cv-2431 (E.D. Pa.)

Dear Judge McLaughlin:

I write on behalf of my client, McKesson Corporation ("McKesson"), in support of final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned litigation.

McKesson is an absent class member in the current litigation and one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that McKesson's claim to recovery in this case will be one of the largest by any class member.

Class counsel have, through me, fully informed McKesson of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the legal issues and risks involved. McKesson is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, that the proposed attorneys' fees of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case, and that the proposed service award to the representative plaintiff is appropriate.

McKesson respectfully asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports class counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs, and a service award to the representative plaintiff.

Respectfully,



Steven Winick
for SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC
Attorneys & Government Relations Professionals

Donald W. Myers

215 665 3880
donald.myers@bipc.com

Two Liberty Place
50 S. 16th Street, Suite 3200
Philadelphia, PA 19102-2555
T 215 665 8700
F 215 665 8760
www.buchananingersoll.com

October 10, 2012

The Honorable Mary A. McLaughlin
United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
601 Market Street, Room 13614
Philadelphia, PA 19106-1797

Re: *In re Wellbutrin XL Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 2:08-cv-2431 (E.D. Pa.)

Dear Judge McLaughlin:

I write on behalf of my client, AmerisourceBergen Corporation (“ABC”), in support of final approval of the proposed settlement and fee award in the above-captioned litigation.

ABC is an absent class member in the current litigation and one of the three largest pharmaceutical distributors in the country. As a result, it is my understanding that our client’s claim to recovery in this case will be one of the largest by any class member.

Class counsel have, through me, fully informed ABC of the facts and circumstances of the case, and the legal issues and risks involved. ABC is satisfied that the proposed settlement is fair and adequate, that the proposed attorneys’ fees of one-third of the settlement amount is appropriate in this case, and that the proposed service award to the representative plaintiff is appropriate.

ABC respectfully asks the Court to approve the settlement and supports class counsel’s application for attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of costs, and a service award to the representative plaintiff.

Respectfully submitted,



Donald W. Myers

DWM/